

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

June 12, 1997

LB 386

15 days ago, 10 days ago, 5 days ago. It's gone. And even though we're making some progress on 309 issues this year, we have not made enough. It was one of the things that Senator Lynch worked very hard on, the 309 committee did. We had some proposals. We had ten million dollars. It was vetoed back to 5.9, which is 4.1 off, so we are going to make some progress, but at this point it's too late in the game to talk about. If we take \$8 million down, it drops us below the minimum reserve by \$8 million, or actually 6.5, probably. I do not think any of us want that headline. I don't even think we want the headline we're going to see, but I certainly don't think we want to have it down below the three percent reserve as required by law. So I strongly oppose Senator Chambers' motion. I understand perhaps he wants to make a point. His points will be valid. Once again, we spent money for an income tax cut and other things, and probably it's a good chance for me to say I've seen nothing in the...no, I take that back. A few editorials have recognized the need for repair maintenance of state facilities and have recognized the need that have built up over the years that we have not taken care of because it is not a popular issue. But I'd say some of the main editorials that I've seen have also totally ignored the need for repair and maintenance of state buildings. It's more popular to talk about income tax cut, and even property tax relief, which I support. But they totally ignore the needs of the state. Many of the groups out there are ignoring the needs of what the state has built up in investment over the years. They're not willing to put the money back into it. They don't want us to put money back into it, apparently, and so here we are with a build up of needs that Senator Lynch has stated over and over and over and over again, there's not enough being done. We attempted to make a serious effort this year, and that, in essence, has been cut in half, at least of the expansion. I'm not going to deny there is additional money there, but it's not nearly enough to meet the crisis that will exist in the future. And I would predict that if we stay even on the present course, and particularly the course that we were on, that someday we will have to tear buildings down because they were not maintained adequately. These things take time to creep up on us. They take time to correct, and you can't start too late in the game or there will be nothing left to save. So I...even though I appreciate Senator Chambers' good intent, I'm sure he has every intention